Compliance Statements

Table of Contents

Purpose

Often the biggest question when performing any kind of measurement is simply "is it in spec?". In some cases it is very clear that the measurement is either inside or outside of specification and in other cases, particularly when the measurand is close to the specification, it is not so clear and cannot be stated with any kind of confidence either way. Often measurements close to the specification, when simply repeated, can appear to shift from one side of the tolerance to the other. This kind of situation can be accurately described as simply unknown.

This article is about compliance statements and the accepted practices for expressing compliance to a specification. When expressing an opinion of compliance from the performance tests on a coordinate measuring machines following any recognized standard, such as ASME B89.4.10360 or ISO/IEC 10360, it is necessary to do this with a suitable level of confidence particularly if traceability is a requirement.

Requirements for Expressing Compliance to Specifications

As a requirement of ISO/IEC 17025 the compliance statement must have the measurement uncertainty taken into account in order to express an opinion of the results. Simply put the statement of compliance must be stated with a specific confidence level.

In the past most measurements were simply compared to the specification without any consideration of the uncertainty. If the measurand is inside specification it is considered good otherwise it is out of tolerance. This method is referred to as *simple acceptance* or *shared risk*. This method of expressing compliance to a specification is not allowed by ISO/IEC 17025.

Additional information related to decisions rules can be found in *ISO/IEC 14253-1; Decision rules for proving conformity or nonconformity with specifications* and *ASME B89.7.3.1;Considering Measurement Uncertainty in Determining Confirmation to Specifications.*

Measurement Uncertainty

The measurement uncertainty is the sum of all recognized contributing error sources back to the definition of primary reference standard by the international system of units (SI) and from all additional recognized sources of error introduced by the actual measurement.

The measurement uncertainty on a coordinate measuring machine is set from a variety of factors including (but not limited to) the following:

- Type and capability of the equipment used.
- Environmental conditions during the measurement (particularly true when measuring anything of length where material expansion is a factor).
- Measurement variability or repeatability. The effect of 'noise' in the measurement.

The measurement uncertainty represents the range of results similar to how a standard deviation represents the range of values from a normally distributed data set. The uncertainty level chosen (indicated as k=1,2, or 3 where K=1 is the standard uncertainty) indicates the necessary range for the desired confidence level. For example, to represent 95% (k=2) of the data a coverage of two standard deviations of the uncertainty is required.



Illustration 1: Distribution of uncertainty around the measured value. Uncertainty 'bar' drawn at 95% confidence (k=2).

The measurement uncertainty that is calculated for each measurand is essential for determining compliance to a specification with any degree of confidence. Without this it is not possible to state any kind of opinion with any degree of confidence.

Interpretation of Measurement To Specification

In the example shown in illustration 2 four sets of measured values are displayed relative to a nominal and tolerance. Each measurand includes the expanded uncertainty drawn around the value to show the relative range of the expanded uncertainty for each item.



Illustration 2: Examples of measurements shown with the expanded uncertainty for each.

In this example only the first and last value can be described as being inside or outside of tolerance. The two middle results could be either inside or outside of tolerance but cannot be stated with confidence in either case. The two middle results can only be described as 'unknown'.

Compliance Statements For 10360-2 El Results

The compliance statement to a machine specification when performing the ASME B89.4.10360-2 or ISO/IEC 10360-2 performance test is based on all of the data measured for any given test position.

The El measurement positions described in 10360-2 consists of five measurement lengths repeated three times for a total of fifteen unique measured values. Each of the fifteen measured length values are compared to the specification limit that is reduced and increased by the expanded measurement uncertainty (the uncertainty guard band around the specification).

In order to state the opinion that the measurement is inside tolerance all fifteen results must have a deviation that falls completely within the specification limit reduced by the expanded uncertainty.

If one or more individual measurements exceed the specification by more than the expanded measurement uncertainty then the result is out of tolerance.

For all other cases the compliance statement will report the result as unknown.

Image	*Comment*
	All measurement lengths are within specification reduced by the expanded uncertainty. Compliance statement would indicate the measurement is within tolerance. This statement would have a confidence level of 95% or higher.
	One or more measurement lengths are greater than the reduced specification. No measurement exceeds the expanded specification. Compliance statement would indicate the measurement is unknown. *The individual length values must be considered and not the average.*

Image	*Comment*
	One or more measurement lengths exceed the specification increased by the expanded uncertainty. Compliance statement would indicate the measurement is outside of tolerance. This statement would have a confidence level of 95% or higher. *The individual length values must be considered and not the average.*

Coordinate Measuring Machine Measurement Uncertainty

For an ISO/IEC 17025 inspection laboratory that uses a coordinate measuring machine the same rules apply as described above.

Calibration certificates contain some of the information necessary to allow the user to determine the strict acceptance specification of a CMM machine. This limit is where all deviations and their expanded uncertainties are fully contained. Additional sources of error based on the use of the equipment should be included to form a complete picture.

As an example using only the calibration data the graph in illustration 3 shows all measurement deviations from the seven E0, and two E150 test measurements relative to the machine specification. Each measurement deviation shown on the graph is increased by the expanded uncertainty (shown in grey). The specification is not sufficient to provide the necessary level of confidence for strict acceptance as the measured values, increased by the expanded uncertainty, is not completely contained inside the specification.



Illustration 3: Example of minimum specification suitable to meet ISO/IEC strict acceptance requirements.

It should be mentioned that the calibration report data, on its own, does not represent the true measurement uncertainty of a coordinate measuring machine as this is specific to the type of measurements performed during calibration. A complete uncertainty would include error sources such as probing, articulating head errors, errors from feature approximation, errors related to approximations of requirements from ASME Y14.5, and many other sources. The calibration data is usually just a small part of the entire uncertainty budget.

Reducing Measurement Uncertainty

In cases where the calculated measurement uncertainty is high it may not be possible to report any results as being inside specification with any kind of confidence. In these cases some or all the results will simply show as compliance unknown.

The most common source of a large uncertainty value for a length dependent performance test is the environment of the coordinate measuring machine. For example, a machine environment that is 23 °C (a temperature that is typically outside of specifications for most coordinate measuring machines) the uncertainty will increase by approximately 0.003 mm/m from what it would have been at the ideal temperature of 20 °C. At this temperature the measurement uncertainty can become comparable to the specification of some of the more accurate machines.

The most common and effective way to reduce the measurement uncertainty is to ensure the coordinate measuring machine is in an environment suitable for inspection purposes.

Revision History

Revision	*Date*	*Reason*
1	Sept 5, 2015	Initial Release
2	Sept 9, 2015	Clarification of ISO/IEC 17025 regarding simple acceptance.

